



#### IMMIGRANTS AND PROTECTION ORDERS BENCH CARD<sup>1</sup> By: Andrea Carcamo Cavazos and Leslye E. Orloff<sup>2</sup> August 28, 2013

#### **Jurisdiction**

Protection orders are designed to deter criminal acts against intimate partners, spouses, or family. Thus any person, including all immigrants, can obtain a protection order.<sup>3</sup>

### **Protection Order Effect on Immigration Status**

#### **Issuance of a protection order**

- No Effect on Immigration Status of or immigration relief options open to the respondent
- Evidenced of Abuse: Can provide an immigrant crime victim with evidence that abuse occurred that can help an immigrant crime victims prove that criminal activity, battering or extreme cruelty occurred. This is one element of proof required in a crime victim's immigration case<sup>4</sup>
- Will not grant immigration status to a victim. Only the Department of Homeland Security or an immigration judges has the authority under federal law to grant immigration status.<sup>5</sup>
- > Violation of a protection order is a deportable offense.<sup>6</sup>
- Certain protection order violations constitute deportable offenses. Findings that a noncitizen committed protection order violations involving: <sup>7</sup> credible threats of violence; repeated harassment; or bodily injury to the person or persons protected under the order are deportable offenses under U.S. immigration laws.
- Deportable Crimes: An immigrant convicted of domestic violence, stalking, child abuse, child neglect, or child abandonment can also be deported.<sup>8</sup>

### Who can be convicted of or found to violation a protection order?

Protection orders are issued by the court against the perpetrator who can be convicted of violating the protection order

4801 Massachusetts Avenue NW · Washington, D.C. 20016 (o) 202.274.4457 · (f) 202.274.4226 · niwap@wcl.american.edu · wcl.american.edu/niwap

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This document was also developed under grant number SJI-12-E-169 from the State Justice Institute. The points of view expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the State Justice Institute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Copyright © The National Immigrant Women's Advocacy Project, American University Washington College of Law 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 42 USC § 1981(a) (2002). (mandating equal rights under the law: all persons within the jurisdiction of the U.S. have the same right in every state and territory to sue and be a party to a suit). See The Violence Against Women Act, 42 U.S.C.S. § 3796hh (2002) (stating that the federal government should "encourage States, Indian tribal governments, State and local courts [including juvenile courts], tribal courts, and units of local government to treat domestic violence as a serious violation of criminal law;" it also should, "strengthen legal advocacy service programs for victims of domestic violence and dating violence, including strengthening assistance to such victims in immigration matters.")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Immigration and Naturalization Service, Petition to Classify Immigrant a s Immediate Relative of a United States Citizen or a s a Preference Immigrant; Self-Petitioning for Certain Battered or Abused Spouses and Children, 61 No. 59 Fed Reg. 13061, 13066 (March 26, 1996) (VAWA Self-Petitioning Rule)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Arizona v. U.S. 132 S.Ct. 2492 (2012); *De Canas v. Bica*, <u>424 U.S. 351</u>, <u>354</u> (1976) ("The power to regulate immigration is unquestionably exclusively a federal power."); *See* DEP'T OF HOMELAND SEC., U AND T VISA LAW ENFORCEMENT RESOURCE GUIDE FOR FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, TRIBAL AND TERRITORIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT, PROSECUTORS, JUDGES, AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES 17 (2015), http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/pubs/dhs-updated-u-certification-resource-guide-2015/ (Only USCIS decides who will be granted VAWA self-petitions and U and T visa cases). See also Leslye Orloff, Joyce Noche, Jennifer Rose, and Laura Martinez, Ensuring Access to Protection Orders for Immigrant Victims of Family Violence, in Breaking Barriers available at: <u>http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/family-law-for-immigrants/protective-orders/5.2\_BB\_Family\_ProtectionOrders\_Ensuring-Access-MANUAL-BB.pdf/view</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> INA § 237(a)(2)(E)(ii) (2009); 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(E)(ii) (2010). Conviction of a crime of domestic violence, stalking child abuse, child neglect and child abandonment are also deportable offenses. INA § 237(a)(2)(E)(i) (2009); 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(E)(i) (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> INA § 237(a)(2)(E)(ii); 8 U.S.C. 1227(a)(2)(E)(ii) (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> INA § 237(a)(2)(E)(i); 8 U.S.C. 1227(a)(2)(E)(i) (1996).

The victim cannot be convicted of violating a protection order issued to protect the victim<sup>9</sup>

<u>Domestic Violence Victim Deportation Waiver:</u> <sup>10</sup> Congressional concerns about state trial court case outcomes harmful to domestic violence victims led to the creation of special domestic violence victim waivers of the domestic violence ground of deportation in certain circumstances.

- Areas of concern were:
  - The numbers of battered women who had acted in self-defense who took pleas in criminal domestic violence cases; and
  - State family court judges who were making findings that or holding battered women in contempt for violating their own protection orders
- When a battered immigrant received a criminal conviction in connection to her being a victim of abuse, a battered immigrant who is not the predominant perpetrator may be eligible for a waiver of deportation for domestic violence or stalking crimes, if:
  - the battered immigrant was acting [in] self-defense;
  - the battered immigrant was found to have violated a protection order issued to protect the battered immigrant; or
  - the battered immigrant committed, was arrested for, was convicted of, or pled guilty to committing a crime
    - that did not result in serious bodily injury; and
    - where there was a connection between the crime and the immigrant's having been battered or subject to extreme cruelty

## "Mutual Protection Orders" and Protections Orders Issued Against Victims

- Mutual protection orders violate the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and make States/Jurisdictions Issuing Such Orders Ineligible for VAWA Funding<sup>11</sup>
- Cross complaints allowed: While a mutual protection order is invalid, the respondent may file a cross or counter petition, complaint, or other written pleading seeking a protection order against the petitioner.<sup>12</sup>
- Full-Faith and Credit: The Violence Against Women Act only grants full faith and credit to protection orders if:
  - a petition has been filed articulating the jurisdictional grounds for issuance of each protection order;
  - the person against whom the protection order was entered was served with notice of the petition;
  - the person against whom the order was entered had an opportunity for a hearing before a court; and
  - $\circ$  the court made specific findings that each party was entitled to such an order.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Statutes and case law in virtually every jurisdiction that has addressed the issue, state that the protection order is between the court and the abuser. Victims cannot be convicted of violating a protection order issued to protect them. See e.g. Ohio v. Lucas 795 N.E.2d 642, 647 ( OH 2003); Cole v. Cole, 556 N.Y.S.2d 217, 219 (Fam. Ct. 1990)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> INA § 237(a)(7)(A); 8 U.S.C. 1227(a)(7)(A) (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 42 USC 3796hh(a)(3) requires state government agencies to "certify that their laws, policies, or practices prohibit issuance of mutual restraining orders of protection except in cases where both spouses file a claim and the court makes detailed findings of fact indicating that both spouses acted primarily as aggressors and that neither spouse acted primarily in self-defense"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 2265(c)(2) (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 2265 (1994).

Protection orders against immigrant victims: These VAWA requirements regarding full faith and credit and not issuing mutual protection orders are particularly important for immigrant victims who, if a protection order is issued against them, find themselves one step closer to deportation, because violation of a protection order is a deportable offense.

## Issuing Jurisdictionally Sound Protection Orders<sup>14</sup>

- <u>"No-Findings Protection Orders Lack Subject Matter Jurisdiction:</u> When abused family members are granted protection orders, their safety depends to a large extent on the effectiveness of the court order issued. Protection orders that are not enforceable because they are not jurisdictionally sound can enhance danger for victims. Respondents in protection order proceedings will agree to terms of the protection order, provided the court amends protection order form to issue a "no-findings" protection order.
  - o Such orders are requested primarily in two circumstances
    - when the perpetrator wants to avoid the protection order firearms restrictions and
    - in cases of protection orders involving immigrant victims in an effort to undermine the evidence value of the protection order in the victim's immigration case.
- Subject Matter Jurisdiction for Protection Orders is Domestic Violence: Courts must have subject matter jurisdiction based on the abuse factors listed in the state domestic violence to issue protection orders<sup>15</sup>
- When Consent Protection Orders If a party wishes to agree to issuance of a protection order by consent the court has valid subject matter jurisdiction if the order is issued using an unaltered state protection order form containing citation to the state protection order based upon:
  - An uncontested pleading in a civil court case;
  - Admission by the respondent to at least one incident that qualifies as abused under the state protection order statute; or
  - The court holds a hearing/trial in which the court finds facts that domestic violence, as defined in the state protection order statute, occurred.

# Traditional and Creative Civil Protection Order Remedies That Protect Immigrant

**Victims** Traditional protection order remedies listed in state protection order statues are most effective in cases involving immigrant victims when courts draft protections orders in a manner that addresses the specific needs of immigrant victims and the particular dynamics of power and control and coercive control in abusive relationships when the abuser is a citizen or has legal immigration status and victim does not. In addition to the traditional remedies in a protection order, all states have provisions in state statutes and the court's equitable jurisdiction that allows victims to receive creative protection order remedies. Creative remedies must be "directly related" to the abuse, which has been defined as anything that "will assist victims of abuse in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See generally, Alicia (Lacy) Carra, Leslye Orloff, Jason Knott, Darren Mitchell, Jurisdictionally Sound Civil Protection Orders, in Breaking Barriers <u>http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/family-law-for-immigrants/protective-</u>

orders/CH5.3% 20BB% 20No% 20Findings% 20CPOs% 20with% 20disc.% 207.3.13.pdf/view 2000 and 20000 and 2000 and 20000 and 2000 and 20000 and 200000 and 20000 and 20000 and 20000 and 2000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 20 AM. JUR. 2D Courts § 99 (2005) ("jurisdiction over the subject matter cannot be affected by agreement or consent") See e.g., Bryant v. Williams, 161 N.C. App. 444 (N.C. Ct. App. 2003) (vacating protection order issued by consent without factual domestic violence basis) El Nashaar v. El Nashaar, 529 N.W.2d 13 (Minn. Ct. App. 1995); Price v. Price, 133 N.C. App. 440 (N.C. Ct. App. 1999); John P.W. ex rel. Adam W. v. Dawn D.O., 214 W. Va. 702, 707 (W. Va. 2003); Brandon v. Brandon, 132 N.C. App. 646 (N.C. Ct. App. 1999).

their (1) escape from the abusive situation, (2) ameliorate the current effects of the abuse, or (3) protect against future abuse."  $^{16}$ 

**Catch-All Provisions:** can be used to creatively obtain specific culturally appropriate relief for battered immigrants and their children. These provisions can provide victims with relief specifically needed in each case to help cut off the abusers' ability to exert continued control over their victims and reduce the abuser's opportunities for ongoing abuse. Can be broadly interpreted and allow the courts to exercise discretion to order additional relief as necessary to prevent abuse.<sup>17</sup>

### **Protections for Immigrant Family Violence Victims From Statutorily Listed Remedies:**

- No further abuse orders: Issued to parties who are not separated that include mandates for no future assault, molestation, harassment, or threats designed to curb the perpetrator's coercive control over the victim.
  - Many victims applying for VAWA and U visa immigration protections file petitions confidentially without their abuser's knowledge or consent. However, victims must wait for a year to 18 months before they receive approval and legal work authorization<sup>18</sup> and access to public benefits can be severely limited for many immigrant crime victims.<sup>19</sup> As a result many immigrant crime victims remain with their abusers until their immigration case is approved. Full contact protection orders offer important protection for immigrant crime victims during this waiting period.
- Stay away protections: For immigrant victims these need to include all locations where the victim receives culturally and linguistically based services or assistance including: place of worship, hair salons, day care providers, community organizations offering culturally and linguistically appropriate services, health care providers and workplaces. Abuse at work can be a particular problem for immigrants whose legal work visa is tied to one specific employer
- No Contact Orders: When the court issues no contact orders in cases involving immigrant victims and their children, it is important that the orders include no contact with the victim's family members (in the U.S. and abroad) and with others who serve as the victim's support system. Perpetrators of abuse against Limited English proficient victims and immigrant victims who are recent immigrants use threats to and communication with victim's support system of friends and family in the U.S. and abroad as an effective coercive control and isolation tool.
- Vacate orders: Orders removing the perpetrator from the family home are particularly important for immigrant victims whose economic survival options can be limited by up to 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> year delays in access to legal work authorization and the federal 5-year on access to many public benefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 45 C.F.R. § 1626.2 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Powell v. Powell*, 547 A.2d 973 (D.C. 1993) (the District of Columbia Court of Appeals determined that the courts had the authority under the statute's catch-all provision to grant monetary relief in civil protection order proceedings, though the remedy was not specifically provided by statute); and *Maldonado*, 631 A.2d 40 (D.C. 1993) (the court confirmed the wide range of relief provided by a catch-all provision and included provisions to assist the battered immigrant petitioner, including prohibiting the husband from withdrawing the application for permanent residence that he had filed on behalf of the wife.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Leslye E. Orloff, National Survey on Timing of Access to Work Authorization by Immigrant Victim VAWA Self-Petitioners and U-Visa Applicants (June 4, 2012) available at <u>http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/reference/additional-materials/research-reports-and-data/research-US-VAIW/Timing-of-Access-to-Work-Authorization-6.4.12.pdf/view</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Jordan Tacher and Leslye E. Orloff, Family Court Bench Card on Immigrant Crime Victim Access to Public Benefits and Services (April 17, 2013) available at <u>http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/reference/additional-materials/public-benefits/access/PB-Bench-Card.pdf/view</u>

- Property exchange orders: <sup>20</sup> Orders granting immigrant crime victims documents that they and their children need for identification, health care, and the victim's immigration case can be essential to victim safety.<sup>21</sup> Requiring that the perpetrator relinquish and not destroy items that are irreplaceable, have sentimental or cultural value including heirlooms and family photographs cut off an avenue of ongoing coercive control and can be essential to the victim's ability to heal.
- Custody: Threats by batterers against non-citizen victims that if they call the police for help or seek help from the courts, the abuser will win custody of the children and/or will take the children or have the victim deported so she will never see her children again are among the most reported reasons (along with fear of deportation and economic survival) that battered immigrants stay with their abusers.<sup>22</sup> When parties in a protection order proceeding have children, courts must provisions in the protection order that address custody awarding custody for the duration of the protection order to the non-abusive parent.<sup>23</sup>
- Child Support: When the parties are separated and have children, child support paid, through wage withholding should be included as a standard remedy in protection order proceedings. This is particularly important for battered immigrant custodial parents who often wait up to 18 months after filing their VAWA or U visa immigration case to obtain legal work authorization. When the perpetrator is a citizen or legal permanent resident spouse it is important as well to remember that the reason the victim lacks immigration status and work authorization is because the perpetrator choose not to file immigration papers for the victim as tool of coercive control in the abusive relationship.
- Payments for medical expenses, changing locks, repairing broken doors: There are other financial remedies that can be included in protection orders that help protect against ongoing abuse, improve safety of the victim and her children and promote healing. These include orders requiring the perpetrator to pay for changing locks, repairing doors and cars that were damaged related to the abuse, ordering continuation of payment for and not cutting off utilities, mortgage, rent on the family home and maintaining the victim and the children on the perpetrators health care insurance. These orders are particularly important for immigrant victims whose access to the public benefits safety net and health care will be limited. Access for U visa victims, in particular, can be delayed for up to or over a year until their U visa case has been approved.
- <u>Batterer's Treatment Programs</u>: If the respondent is ordered into a batterer's treatment program it is important for the court to ensure that when the respondent is Limited English proficient that an interpreter will be provided so that the respondent can complete the program.

<sup>23</sup> The importance of addressing custody, particularly cases involving battered immigrants was first addressed in Howard A. Davidson, American Bar Association Center on Children and the Law, The Impact of Domestic Violence on Children; A Report to the President of the American Bar Association (1994) (Part VII-Special Groups: Immigrant Women and Children p.19) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Police should accompany the petitioner to the home and ensure compliance with the order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Documents needed for victim based immigration applications are discussed in the section of this bench card discussing creative protection order remedies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Mary Ann Dutton, Leslye E. Orloff, & Giselle Aguilar-Hass, Characteristics of help-seeking behaviors, resources, and service needs of battered immigrant Latinas: Legal and policy implications. 7 Georgetown Journal of Poverty Law & Policy 245, 302 (2000)

http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/reference/additional-materials/research-reports-and-data/immigrant-families-and-children/The-Impact-of-Domestic-Violence-on-Children.pdf/view; The importance for children and battered parent safety of awarding custody to the non-abusive parent has also been well established see House Concurrent Resolution 172 101<sup>st</sup> Congress 2<sup>nd</sup> Session (October 26, 1990) (This Congressional Resolution passed unanimously by Congress urges states and state court judges that, for purposes of determining child custody, credible evidence of physical abuse of a spouse should create a statutory presumption that it is detrimental to the child to be placed in the custody of the abusive spouse. Supervised visitation can still occur with the abusive parent) available at <u>http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/reference/additionalmaterials/family-law-for-immigrants/custody/H.Con.Res-172-on-Domestic-Violence-and-Child-Custody-10-26-1990.pdf/view</u>

#### **Examples of Creative Provisions that Better Assist Battered Immigrants:**

<u>To deter parental kidnapping:</u> include orders to not remove the children from the court's jurisdiction,<sup>24</sup> turn over passports of parties' children,<sup>25</sup> supervised visitation, if the perpetrator is criminally charged bond orders, and orders signed by the judge and both parties stating that the Embassy/Consulate not issue passports or visas to the party's children absent court order.

<u>To deter immigration related abuse</u>: Immigration related abuse is a very effective power and control tool used against battered immigrant victims. It co-exists with and corroborates physical and sexual abuse and is a lethality factor predicting escalation toward physical and sexual violence in emotionally abusive relationships.<sup>26</sup> Immigration related abuse remedies include:

- The respondent shall not contact DHS or any government agency (e.g. IRS, CPS, Welfare), about the petitioner, absent permission from the court, a police employee, or a subpoena.<sup>27</sup>
- The respondent shall cooperate in and not withdraw or revoke any case filed with immigration authorities for petitioner or the children
- Respondent shall sign a prepared FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) INS form with the results of this form to be sent to the petitioner or the petitioner's attorney.
- The respondent shall immediately relinquish possession and/or use of and transfer to the petitioner the following items:
  - <u>Petitioner's property</u> (personal effects & papers related to any immigration case filed on the petitioner of the children's behalf)
  - <u>Documents</u> victim needs to care for children and prove or attain legal immigration status including evidence establishing good faith marriage and that the parties resided together, identity, battering or extreme cruelty. Examples include: passports, government issued IDs, driver's license, health care and social security cards, birth certificates and evidence of the martial relationship including love letters and wedding and family photos, Police and court documents about the relationship, joint leases/utility bills, children's school and medical records, Health insurance information, letters and other mail addressed to the petitioner and to the respondent at the same address, copy of the perpetrator's passport, lawful permanent residency card or certificate of naturalization and copies of tax returns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The children should also be registered in the State Department's Children's Passport Alert Program that will notify the victim if the abuser tries to obtain another passport for the children. The respondent should also sign a statement that will also be signed by the petitioner and the judge informing a (particular) embassy or consulate that it should not issue a passport (in the case of dual national children) or for U.S. citizen children a visitors' visas or any other visa to the child(ren) of the parties absent an order of the court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> If a provision designed to prevent removal of the children from the United States is included in the protection order, a copy of the order must be forwarded to the Office of Passport Services within the Bureau of Consular Affairs of the United States Department of State to prevent the issuance of passports or duplicate passports for the children if the respondent attempts to obtain them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Mary Ann Dutton, Leslye E. Orloff, & Giselle Aguilar-Hass, Characteristics of help-seeking behaviors, resources, and service needs of battered immigrant Latinas: Legal and policy implications. 7 Georgetown Journal of Poverty Law & Policy 245, 292 (2000)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Brief on *Ruiz v. Carrasco* (an order restricting an abuser's ability to communicate with government agencies about the victim can withstand any first amendment free speech challenges); *Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire*, 315 U.S. 568 (1942) (the Supreme Court held that words threatening injury to a person are not deserving of First amendment protection, in that "their utterance inflict injury or tend to incite an immediate breach of peace); and *Thorne v. Bailey*, 846, F.2d 241 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988) (held harassment is not protected speech).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> For details on immigration case evidence needs see, Sullivan and Orloff, Eds, Breaking Barriers: A Complete Guide to Legal Rights and Resources for Battered Immigrants, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (2013) available at <u>http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/reference/manuals/domestic-violence-family-violence</u>

➢ Respondent shall pay to the petitioner through the court all costs associated with replacing documents destroyed, hidden or claimed to be missing by the respondent.